BEFORE THE

FILED/ACCEPTED

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

FEB - 3 2009

Federal Communications Commission Office of the Secretary

# OPEN PUBLIC SESSION

EB Docket No.

IN THE MATTER OF: : 07-147

PENDLETON C. WAUGH, : File No.

CHARLES M. AUSTIN, : EB-06-IH-2112 and JAY R. BISHOP; : NAL/Acct. No.

: 200732080025

PREFERRED COMMUNICATION

SYSTEMS, INC., : FRN No.

Licensee of Various : 0003469049

Site-by-Site Licenses in the Specialized Mobile

Radio Service;

PREFERRED ACQUISITIONS, INC.: FRN No.

Licensee of Various : 0003786183

Economic Area Licenses in the 800 MHz Specialized Mobile Radio Service.

Monday, January 5, 2009

#### DEPOSITION OF CHARLES M. AUSTIN

called for examination by Counsel for the Plaintiff, pursuant to Notice of Deposition, at the Federal Communications Commission, located at 445 12th Street, S.W., Washington, D.C., at 9:00 a.m., when were present on No. of Copies rec'd behalf of the respective parties. List ABCDE

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

**COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS** 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

#### APPEARANCES:

# On Behalf of the Agency:

ANJALI K. SINGH, ESQUIRE GARY A. OSHINSKY, ESQUIRE

Of: Federal Communications Commission Enforcement Bureau 445 12th Street, S.W.

Room 4-A331

Washington, D.C. 20554 Tel: (202) 418-2529 Fax: (202) 418-2080

E-mail: anjali.singh@fcc.gov

# On Behalf of Preferred Communication Systems, Inc., Preferred Acquisitions, Inc., and Charles M. Austin:

DAVID J. KAUFMAN, ESQUIRE

Of: Rini Coran, PC

Suite 1325

1615 L Street, N.W.

Washington, D.C.

Tel: (202) 296-2100 Fax: (202) 296-2014

ROBERT J. KELLER, ESQUIRE

Of: Law Offices of Robert J. Keller, PC

P.O. Box 33428

Washington, D.C. 20033-0428

Tel: (202) 223-2100 Fax: (202) 223-2121

E-mail: rjk@telcomlaw.com

#### On Behalf of Pendleton C. Waugh:

WILLIAM D. SILVA, ESQUIRE

Of: Law Offices of William D. Silva

Suite 400

5335 Wisconsin Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20015-2003

E-mail: bill@luselaw.com

# **NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

WITNESS:

DIRECT

CHARLES M. AUSTIN

4

E-X-I-B-I-T-S

(None this volume)

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	(9:00 a.m.)
3	WHEREUPON,
4	CHARLES M. AUSTIN
5	WAS CALLED AS A WITNESS AND, HAVING BEEN FIRST
6	DULY SWORN, WAS EXAMINED AND TESTIFIED AS
7	FOLLOWS:
8	DIRECT EXAMINATION
9	BY MR. OSHINSKY:
10	Q Okay. Mr. Austin, for the record,
11	my name is Gary Oshinsky, and with me is my
12	co-counsel, Anjali Singh, and we represent the
13	Enforcement Bureau of the Federal
14	Communications Commission, which I think
15	you've realized by now.
16	I'm going to begin taking your
17	deposition with some general background
18	information, and then Anjali will chime in at
19	the later part, probably this afternoon.
20	A Okay.
21	Q Okay. I'm going to ask you a
22	series of questions, and your answers are

1	going to be recorded by the court reporter.
2	So, you have to speak up. You have to speak
3	make your answer verbal. You can't nod or
4	shake your head, because he can't record that
5	on the record.
6	A I got you.
7	Q Have you had your deposition taken
8	before?
9	A No. Actually, the first time.
10	Q Is that right? Okay. All right.
11	One of the main rules of the deposition under
12	the FCC regulations is that since you've just
13	sworn under oath to tell the truth, you have
14	to tell the truth and to the best of your
15	ability.
16	And if there's something that you
17	don't remember, you can say you don't
18	remember, and if there's something that you're
19	not sure about, you tell us that, too.
20	We understand that some of these
21	things happened a long time ago, so you may be
22	asked to recall some of those in detail and,

1	of course, you may not have the best
2	recollection of those at this point.
3	This is not a test or a race, and
4	we ask you to try to reach back in your memory
5	and try to do the best you can to recollect
6	things.
7	If you need to have a question
8	repeated you can just ask, we'll be happy to
9	do that. If you don't understand a question,
10	you can ask us to clarify it.
11	We should try not to talk at the
12	same time, although I don't anticipate that's
13	going to be a problem, because the court
14	reporter can only record one person at a time.
15	And one of my first questions was:
16	Do you have an attorney here today. Are you
17	an attorney, yourself?
18	A No.
19	Q Okay. And you don't have an
20	attorney here today, do you?
21	A No.
22	Q Okay. And what's the best your

1	best understanding of Mr. Keller's whereabouts
2	and Mr. Kaufman's whereabouts?
3	A Kaufman is out of town. Keller
4	can be reached by phone if necessary.
5	Q Okay. But, to the best of your
6	knowledge, they were fully aware of the
7	deposition, the date and time
8	A Yes.
9	Q set for today?
10	A That is correct.
11.	Q Okay. And it was with their
12	agreement that you appeared here by yourself
13	without an attorney today?
14	A That is correct.
1.5	Q Just again, preliminarily, if you
1.6	need a break in questioning, just ask us, and
17	we'll arrange it. If you're in the middle of
18	an answer, something like that, we'd like you
19	to finish your answer, and then we can have a
20	break.
21	A Okay.
22	Q I was going to advise you that you

had a right to confer with your attorney, but that's not applicable right now, although I suppose you could reach Mr. Keller by phone if you had to. Okay. If you needed to do that, you can inform us of that, and we can arrange for a break at a certain point.

I'm going to ask you to answer the questions as fully as you can. If, however, at some later point in the deposition -- and this is going to stretch on for a while -- you realize that you gave an answer which you could respond to better if you saw certain documents or had access to other information, even if it's five minutes or hours afterwards, we'd like you to go back and supplement that answer if you can.

And if you need access to documents, we may have them or, I guess -- or you may have them. It's possible. If you may need to refer to some documents.

And we ask you to try to be as complete as you can, even if it means going

### NEAL R. GROSS

2 /	$\parallel$ back to a question that you got an hour
2	before. Okay?
3	A Okay.
4	Q All right. So, these are the
5	questions that I'm required to ask, and that
6	is: Are you taking any medication or drugs of
7	any kind that could affect your ability to
8	answer the questions today?
9	A No.
10	Q Okay. Have you had any alcohol to
11	drink in the last eight hours?
12	A No.
13	Q Okay. Are you sick or under a
14	doctor's care for an illness as of the present
15	time?
16	A No.
17	Q Is there any reason why you can
18	think of that you couldn't clearly and
19	truthfully answer the questions posed to you
20	today?
21	A No.
22	Q Okay. All right. So, for the

record, could you give us your full name.

2	A Charles Matthew Austin.
3	Q And your address.
4	A Corporate or
5	Q No. I think your home address
6	or actually, both, if you have them. I'm sure
7	you do.
8	A Corporate is 400 East Royal Lane,
9	Suite N-24, Irving, Texas 75039.
10	Q And your home?
11	A And the home is 7545 Cortina
1.2	Avenue, Atascadero, California 9 wait.
L3	93422.
L4	Q The corporate address is in Texas
15	and your home is in California, so where do
L6	you work out of?
L7	A We're in the process of making
18	some changes.
L9	Q From what to what?
20	A I'm going to be working out of
21	California and maintaining the corporate
22	office in Texas for probably the next four

- 1	1110110115.	
2	Q	So you're going to be situated in
3	California,	but the corporate the business
4	operations	
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	are going to be taking place
7	out of Texa	s?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	And is there somebody who's going
10	to be runn:	ing the business in Texas in your
11	stead?	
12	A	No. I'll be running it from
13	California.	
14	Q	Through the miracle of
15	electronics	s, I guess?
16	A	Well, it's pretty easy to do that.
17	Q	All right. Who will be in charge
18	of the Cali	fornia office?
19	A	Linda McClain. Or, excuse me.
20	Did you say	California?
21	Q	No, I'm sorry. I meant the Texas
22	office.	

A	Yes.
Q	Linda McClain?
A	Linda McClain.
Q	And how old are you?
A	45.
Q	Are you married?
A	No.
Q	Do you have any children?
A	No.
Q	What was the highest level of
education	you've attained?
A	Some college, I guess. High
school and	a couple of years of college. No
Associate	degree.
Q	An Associate, a two-year degree?
A	No.
Q	Oh, no Associate degree?
A	No degree, yes.
Q	Where did you go to college?
A	Quest College and Junior
College, a	nd Golden West College and Orange
Coast Coll	ege.
	Q A Q A Q A Q A Q education A school and Associate Q A Q A Q A Q A College, a

# NEAL R. GROSS

1	Q I'm sorry. Give me the second one
2	again. Quest College and then?
3	A Golden West, which is in Orange
4	County.
5	Q Okay. Quest College is also in
6	California?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Are well, I think we know the
9	answer to this, but are you currently
10	employed?
11	A Yes.
1.2	Q Okay. And who do you work for or
13	what work do you do?
14	A I work for Preferred Communication
15	Systems, Inc. and I'm the CEO/president of
16	that company.
17	Q Do you have any other jobs
18	A No.
19	Q besides that?
20	A No.
21	Q Can you give us a sort of a
22	summary of your duties and responsibilities at

1	Preferred Communications, which we'll refer to
2	for the record as PCSI?
3	A To run the corporation, including
4	everything.
5	Q All right. We're going to go into
6	more detail about those duties later, so I'll
7	let I'll move on from there.
8	How long have you held that
9	position?
10	A Since 1998.
11	Q Can you tell us what previous jobs
12	you had before that?
13	A Yes. I previous to that I was
14	ran an office, marketing office for Select
15	Digital, if I remember correctly. I remember
16	that being asked in in when we supplied
17	that information.
18	I'd probably do better if I had
19	access to that information. It's been a long
20	time.
21	Q All right. And could you tell us
22	what your duties and responsibilities were
1	lt

1	there?
2	A Manage the office and its
3	employees.
4	Q So your title was manager?
5	A I'd have to go back and look.
6	Q Was there somebody over you? Did
7	you have a supervisor?
8	A No.
9	Q Okay. Do you know who owned that
10	business?
11	A Yes. Pendleton Waugh.
12	Q Approximately when was that?
13	A '93, '94. 1993, 1994.
14	Q Okay. Anything before that, or
15	anything in between that and 1998 when you
16	started with Preferred?
17	A I you know, I started a company
18	called Media Response.
19	Q When was that?
20	A That was in 1996.
21	Q Okay. And is that company still
22	in existence?

1 (	A No.
2	Q And what about I'm sorry, the
3	company that was run by Pendleton Waugh that
4	you were working for, is that still in
5	existence?
6	A No.
7	Q Okay. And can you give me the
8	ending dates for both of those?
9	A '97 for Media Response, and I
LO	believe I know this was submitted to you.
L1	Let's see
L2	Q Approximately.
L3	A '95.
.4	Q And can you tell us why those two
L5	businesses ended?
.6	A Yes. The first one, Select
L7	Digital ended when Express Communications went
L8	out of business. And I closed Media Response
L9	when I decided to go in a different direction,
20	businesswise.
21	Q What was the connection between
22	Select Digital and Express?

1 (	A It was a marketing office for
2	Express.
3	Q And were you also employed by
4	Express?
5	A No, not at that time. I may I
6	was out in no, I don't think I was ever
7	employed directly by Express Communications.
8	Q Okay. Now, when were you first
9	made aware about this deposition,
10	approximately? How long ago? A couple of
11	months? Six months?
12	A Be specific. Today's date or the
13	
14	Q I'm asking because I'm going to
15	ask you some questions about how you prepared
16	for today's deposition.
17	A Okay.
18	Q So, I just want the record to
19	reflect approximately how much how long
20	you've known about it, so how much time you've
21	had to prepare. That's it.
22	A Oh. Has it been three months,

1	four months? Three months?
2	Q Yes. It's been changed a couple
3	of times. Three or four months.
4	A Okay. Three to four months.
5	Q And did you review documents in
6	preparation for the deposition?
7	A Yes, I did.
8	Q Okay. Can you tell us generally
9	what category of or what categories of
10	documents you've reviewed?
11	A I reviewed the documents, the
12	interrogatories, letters of inquiry that we've
13	already supplied to the FCC, and I haven't
14	reviewed specific documents because it's ten
15	years and
16	Q Well, did you review the documents
17	that were related to the interrogatories and
18	the letters of inquiry in any
19	A Not no, not in the last couple
20	of weeks, no.
21	Q Well, I mean, but how about in the
22	three-month period, have you reviewed those
	i de la companya de

1	documents?
2	A Some of them, yes, but not all of
3	them. You've got ten years and there's
4	thousands of documents.
5	Q Yes. We well-know that. Okay.
6	And have you discussed and prepared for this
7	deposition with your attorney?
8	A Yes, I have.
9	Q Okay. Was there anybody else that
10	you spoke to or prepared with?
11	A No.
12	Q I'm going to ask you this
13	question, but I think I already know the
14	answer. If, in reviewing any of the documents
15	for the deposition, do you know whether any of
16	the documents you reviewed were ones that were
17	not included in response to the letters of
18	inquiry or the interrogatories?
19	A No. No.
20	Q No, you don't know, or no, there
21	are none?
22	A I believe you're asking did I

# **NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	review documents that they did not submit.
2	Q Yes.
3	A Or documents that are not included
4	in discovery, and the answer to that is no.
5	Q Okay. So, everything that you
6	reviewed would have already been submitted
7	A Yes.
8	Q as far as you know?
9	A Yes, that's correct.
10	Q All right. Can you tell us about
11	your business background.
12	A Yes.
13	Q Okay. Would you go ahead. In
14	other words, the business background that you
15	utilized while working at PCSI and PAI.
16	A Well, that's a broad question.
17	Q If there's a better way to look at
18	it, you tell me.
19	A Yes.
20	Q How would you characterize your
21	business background in leading up to your
22	position at PCSI? What qualified you to be

1 /	head of PCSI?
2	A That's good, a good question.
3	Background in communication licensing, 800 Mhz
4	licensing in particular, and general business
5	experience in operating a company.
6	Q And that would have been the two
7	companies that you referred to earlier?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Okay. And how did you obtain your
10	experience in 800 MHz licenses?
11	A Through my work with Express
12	Communications.
13	Q Okay. In other words, you were
14	the again, you were the marketing arm for
15	Express and Express was dealing
16	A Yes.
17	Q in 800 MHz licenses?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Have you headed or owned any other
20	businesses besides PCSI and PAI that dealt
21	with telecommunications?
22	A No.

1	Q What was it Express what was
2	Express let's see. What was Express's
3	business plan, Express Communications'
4	business plan which you did the marketing for?
5	A We were acquiring 800 MHz SMR
6	licenses throughout the United States and
7	Puerto Rico to build and operate wireless
8	systems.
9	Q And did you, in fact, acquire
10	licensing in Express?
11	A We we had acquired quite a
12	hundreds of licenses throughout the country on
13	construction and management agreements. And
14	Q Yes, go ahead. I'm sorry.
15	A And sought a waiver which was
16	denied at the time, but we had gathered up a
17	number of licenses to put together a regional
18	system. But it never came it never came to
19	fruition.
20	Q And why didn't it come to
21	fruition?
22	A Because the granting of the waiver

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	was not did not come forward.
2	Q And what was the basis of the
3	waiver request?
4	A I can't recall at this time. It's
5	been a while.
6	Q Now, did Express Communications,
7	did there come a time when Express
8	Communications went out of business?
9	A That is correct.
10	Q Okay. Can you tell us why it went
11	out of business?
12	A I'm sure there was a number of
13	factors that went into why Express but I
14	would say largely it had to do with probably
15	the legal problems surrounding Pendleton
16	Waugh.
17	Q Okay.
18	A As to why the company went under.
19	Q Can you tell us briefly what those
20	problems were?
21	A Well, I think Pendleton Law's
22	legal problems are in the record in terms of

1	his	
2	Q	What's your knowledge of it?
3	A	Pen was had plead guilty to
4	securities	violations.
5	Q	Okay. Can you give us a little
6	more detai	l, if you know?
7	A	I believe the federal was
8	conspiracy	to evade the Money Reporting Act.
9	Q	Okay. And was there a state
LO	charge as	well?
L1	A	There was a state charge as well.
1.2	Q	Do you know what that was?
13	A	It was a security no, I don't
L4	recall the	e specifics of that one at the
L5	moment.	
L6	Q	But it was some form of security
L7	violation?	-
1.8	A	Yes.
L9	Q	Was it just those two, to your
20	knowledge?	
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	At the time do you remember

1	approximately when those were those two
2	charges occurring at about the same time?
3	A No, I think there was a gap, a
4	gap. The first one was federal and the second
5	one was state.
6	Q A gap of years or months?
7	A Months or months to a year, I
8	believe.
9	Q And at the point where do you
10	remember approximately what year that was in?
11	Let's start with the federal.
12	A It was in the '94, '95.
13	Q Okay.
14	A Yes, '94, '95.
15	Q And can you tell us what your
16	relationship was with Pendleton Waugh at that
17	point?
18	A I
19	Q The point where he was convicted,
20	what your personal relationship was.
21	A My personal? As opposed to
22	business? Obviously I worked for him.